- (20) C. E. Schäffer, "Xlith International Conference on Coordination Chemistry, Sydney 1969", IUPAC, Butterworths, London, 1970, p 316. (21) C. K. Jørgensen, "Modern Aspects of Ligand Field Theory", Elsevier,
- Amsterdam, 1971, Chapter 13.
- (22) L. G. Vanquickenborne, J. Vranckx, and C. Görlier-Walrand, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 96, 4121 (1974); J. Vranckx and L. G. Vanquickenborne, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 11, 159 (1974); C. Görlier-Walrand and L. G. Vanquickenborne, J.
- Mol. Struct., 19, 737 (1973).
   (23) J. S. Griffith, "The Theory of Transition-Metal lons", Cambridge University Press, New York, N.Y., 1964.
- (24) Y. Tanabe and S. Sugano, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn., 9, 753 (1951).
   (25) R. B. Woodward and R. Hoffmann, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 8, 781 (1969).
- (26) H. C. Longuet-Higgins and E. W. Abrahamson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 87, 2045 (1965).

- (27) T. H. Whitesides, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 91, 2395 (1969); see also D. R. Eaton,
- J. Glerup, O. Mønsted, and C. E. Schäffer, Inorg. Chem., 15, 1399 (28) (29)(1976).
- (30) W. W. Fee and J. N. MacB. Harrowfield, Aust. J. Chem., 23, 1049 (1970).
- (31) M. Ellan and R. Hoffmann, *Inorg. Chem.*, 14, 1058 (1975).
   (32) F. Basolo and R. G. Pearson, "Mechanisms of inorganic Reactions", Wiley, New York, N.Y., 1967, Chapter 4; M. L. Tobe, "inorganic Reaction Mechanisms", Studies in Modern Chemistry, Nelson, London, 1972, Chapter
- (33) J. K. Burdett, Inorg. Chem., 14, 375 (1975).
- (34) The frontler electron concept was pioneered by Fukul: K. Fukui, Top. Curr. Chem., 15 (1970).

## Charge-Transfer Interactions between Transition Metal Hexafluorides and Xenon<sup>†</sup>

## J. D. Webb and E. R. Bernstein\*

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado 80523. Received July 5, 1977

Abstract: Charge transfer transitions are reported for  $MF_6$  (M = W, Mo, U, Re, Ir) with Xe. The nature of the charge transfer complexes is discussed. Based on spectroscopic data new estimates of MF<sub>6</sub> electron affinities are presented.

### I. Introduction

In the course of spectroscopic studies of paramagnetic transition metal hexafluorides, it became apparent that additional information might be obtained if a high-symmetry host material for mixed crystals could be found. Such systems would be particularly useful for the study of the  $\Gamma_{8g}(O_h^*)$  states, in which the Jahn-Teller interaction is of particular interest.<sup>1,2</sup> It was thought that xenon might serve as a good host; it has no crystal vibrational frequencies in the range of intramolecular MF<sub>6</sub> vibrations, and size and orientation considerations indicate that MF<sub>6</sub> might go into the Xe lattice substitutionally. However, it was found that when  $IrF_6$ , which is yellow, is dissolved in liquid Xe, the solution is totally opaque, although purple in reflection. It is the purpose of this paper to demonstrate that the new absorption band for the  $IrF_6$ -Xe system is due to a low-lying intermolecular charge transfer (CT) transition between Xe and MF<sub>6</sub>:

$$Xe + MF_6 \xrightarrow{h\nu} Xe^+ MF_6^-$$

Such CT transitions and associated complexes with MF<sub>6</sub> are known,<sup>3</sup> but have not been previously observed for the rare gases.

Several experiments have been carried out to verify these conclusions and to increase understanding of this phenomenon. The absorption spectra of  $MF_6$ -Xe (M = Ir, Re, W, Mo, U) at liquid nitrogen temperature have been taken. An IrF<sub>6</sub>-Kr sample and a gas-phase  $IrF_6$ -Xe sample were also prepared and investigated. Besides demonstrating the CT nature of the new transitions, these experiments also give valuable information on the exceptionally high electron affinities of the hexafluorides. Observation of "local" (intramolecular) IrF<sub>6</sub> transitions in the near IR also permits conclusions to be drawn concerning stability of the  $IrF_6$ -Xe complex.

### **II. Experimental Section**

Handling of hexafluorides has been previously described.<sup>1</sup> Research grade xenon and krypton (Linde) were used and were further purified by distillation to remove any traces of  $H_2O$ , a very serious impurity for the hexafluorides.

Crystals were grown from the melt by suspending the sample cell a few centimeters above the surface of liquid nitrogen in a closed Dewar. Although crystals grown this rapidly ( $\sim 20 \text{ min}$ ) are certainly not high-quality single crystals, they are of adequate quality to allow spectra to be taken. Visible and near-UV absorption spectra were taken on a McPherson 285 monochromator with photoelectric detection. Near-IR spectra were obtained on a McPherson 2051 with a 77K InAs (Texas Instruments) detector. Some preliminary spectra were also obtained on a Cary 17.

## III. Theory

The theory of CT transitions and complexes is well known<sup>4</sup> and will be outlined only briefly here. For 1:n complexes (in this case n is either 12Xe or 1Xe), the energy of the CT transition is

$$h\nu_{\rm CT} = I_{\rm d} - E_{\rm a} + (G_1 - n'G_0) + (X_1 - n'X_0) \qquad (1)$$

in which  $I_d$  = ionization potential of the donor D (Xe),  $E_a$  = electron affinity of the acceptor A (MF<sub>6</sub>),  $G_1$  = "normal" interaction of D<sup>+</sup> and A<sup>-</sup>, specifically neglecting the CT interactions,  $G_0$  = "normal" interaction of D and A,  $X_1$  = additional interaction between  $D^+$  and  $A^-$  due to proximity of D-Aconfiguration,  $X_0$  = additional interaction between D and A due to proximity of the  $D^+-A^-$  configuration, n = number of donors in the complex, and in the limit of weak complexes, n'= n. For stronger complexes n' < n due to saturation effects.5

 $X_0$  can be approximated by second-order perturbation theory as

$$X_0 \sim -\frac{\beta_0^2}{\Delta} \tag{2}$$

\* Supported in part by the Office of Naval Research.



Figure 1. Energy levels of  $IrF_6$ . Rigorous symmetry labels  $(O_h^*)$  for each electronic state are given along with the cubic Russell-Saunders state which correlates with the state for vanishing spin-orbit coupling. Since all the final states are gerade, the g label has been omitted in the right-hand column.

**Table I.** Onset Frequency for Inter- and Intramolecular Charge-Transfer Transitions  $[\sigma_{CT}(MF_6-Xe), \sigma_{CT}(MF_6)]$  and Estimated Electron Affinities  $(E_a)$  for  $MF_6{}^b$ 

MF <sub>6</sub>	$\sigma_{\rm CT}({\rm MF_{6}-Xe}),$ cm <sup>-1</sup>	$\sigma_{\rm CT}({\rm MF_6}),$ cm <sup>-1</sup>	$E_{\rm a}$ , kcal/mol
IrF <sub>6</sub>	9 800	20 000	181
ReF <sub>6</sub>	>22 000	22 000	<146
WF <sub>6</sub>	36 600	52 000	(104) <sup>a</sup>
MoF <sub>6</sub>	26 300	50 000	133
UF <sub>6</sub>	>26 000	26 000	<134

<sup>a</sup> Reference 8. <sup>b</sup> A literature value for  $E_a$  (WF<sub>6</sub>) is used in conjunction with eq 3 to determine the other  $E_a$  (MF<sub>6</sub>) (see text).

for which  $\Delta = I_d - E_a + (G_1 - n'G_0)$  and  $\beta_0 = \langle \psi(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{A}) - |\hat{\mathcal{H}}|\psi(\mathbf{D}^+, \mathbf{A}^-) \rangle$ .

For the purpose of estimating electron affinities, the following approximate equation is useful:

$$h\nu_{\rm CT} = I_{\rm d} - E_{\rm a} + G_1 \tag{3}$$

Location and character of excited electronic states of the donor and acceptor are of importance in more detailed considerations. The excited electronic states of Xe are so high in energy as to have a negligible effect in this regard and will be ignored here. The onset of intramolecular CT transitions for the hexafluorides is given in Table I. The lowest energy ligand-field states of  $IrF_6$  are shown in Figure 1. Note that in the above considerations solvent effects have been neglected.

#### **IV. Results**

The onset frequencies of the intermolecular CT transition for  $\frac{1}{2}$ % MF<sub>6</sub>/Xe (l = 1 cm) are listed in Table I. The observed transitions are found to be broad (>10 000 cm<sup>-1</sup>), featureless bands, as expected of a CT transition. The frequencies of the near-IR transitions of 0.1% IrF<sub>6</sub>-Xe (l = 1 cm) are given in Table II; a comparison of IrF<sub>6</sub>-Xe data and neat IrF<sub>6</sub> is given in Table III. The intensities of the near-IR transitions of IrF<sub>6</sub>-Xe are estimated to be enhanced by two orders of magnitude over those of neat IrF<sub>6</sub>. The band widths are increased by an order of magnitude over the observed band widths in neat IrF<sub>6</sub>. Near-IR and visible spectra of gas-phase  $\frac{1}{2}$ % IrF<sub>6</sub>-Xe (10 Torr-L Xe, V = 10 mL, T = 300 K, l = 1 cm) and solid 0.01% IrF<sub>6</sub>-Kr (l = 1 cm) show only the intramolecular CT

Table II. Observable Near-IR Transitions of 0.1%  $IrF_6$ -Xe. Frequencies Are Determined to  $\pm 1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ 

MF <sub>6</sub>	Vacuum wavenumber, cm <sup>-1</sup>	Fwhh, $cm^{-1} a$	Assignment
Ir F6	5724	205	$\Gamma_8(^2T_1)$
Ū	6016	168	$\Gamma_8(^2T_1) + bv^b$
	6404		$\Gamma_8 (^2T_1) + sv^c$
	6641		$\Gamma_8 ({}^2T_1) + bv + sv$
	7814	203	$\Gamma_8$ ( <sup>2</sup> E)
	8036		$\Gamma_8$ ( <sup>2</sup> E) + bv
	8324	207	$\Gamma_6 (^2T_1)$
	8575		$\Gamma_6 (^2T_1) + bv$

<sup>a</sup> Fwhh = full width at half height. <sup>b</sup> bv = bending vibrations ( $\nu_4$ ,  $\nu_5$ ,  $\nu_6$ ). <sup>c</sup> sv = stretching vibrations ( $\nu_1$ ,  $\nu_2$ ,  $\nu_3$ ).

**Table III.** Shifts in Near-IR Origins between Neat  $IrF_6$  and 0.1%  $IrF_6$ -Xe

	Neat IrF <sub>6</sub> , $cm^{-1}$	$0.1\%  \mathrm{IrF_{6}-Xe}, \ \mathrm{cm^{-1}}$	$\Delta$ , cm <sup>-1</sup>
$\Gamma_8 (^2T_1)$ $\Gamma_2 (^2F)$	6114 8177	5724 7814	-390 -363
$\Gamma_6 (^2T_1)$	8701	8324	-377

transition at 20 000 cm<sup>-1</sup> characteristic of IrF<sub>6</sub>. Freezing the yellow gas-phase IrF<sub>6</sub>-Xe mixture in liquid nitrogen gives an opaque-purple solid; warming the sample to the melting point of Xe gives an opaque-purple liquid which upon warming evaporates, leaving yellow solid IrF<sub>6</sub>. With the evaporation of IrF<sub>6</sub>, a pale yellow gas is obtained, leaving no residue behind.

#### V. Discussion

Plausibility of the intermolecular CT transition hypothesis

$$MF_6 + Xe \xrightarrow{n\nu} [MF_6 - Xe^+]$$

can be easily demonstrated.  $PtF_6$  is known to chemically react with Xe,<sup>6</sup> the first step in the reaction being

$$PtF_6 + Xe \rightarrow PtF_6^-Xe^+$$

Since  $PtF_6$  has a higher electron affinity (215 kcal/mol<sup>7</sup>) than the hexafluorides studied here, it is not unreasonable to suspect that the  $MF_6$ -Xe<sup>+</sup> electronic configuration would be an excited state rather than the ground state as in  $PtF_6$ -Xe. A rough calculation can be used to demonstrate this point quite adequately. The frequency of the CT transition in  $IrF_6$ -Xe using eq 3 with  $I_d = 281$  kcal/mol,  $E_a$  ( $IrF_6$ )  $\geq 136$  kcal/mol,  $G_1 \sim$ -70 kcal/mol, is found to be  $hv_{CT} \leq 26\ 000\ cm^{-1}$ .

Experimental verification of the CT transition hypothesis is given in Table I. These data indicate that  $E_a$  (IrF<sub>6</sub>) >  $E_a$ (MoF<sub>6</sub>) >  $E_a$  (WF<sub>6</sub>), which agrees with the expected trends.<sup>6</sup> Estimates of the electron affinities of MF<sub>6</sub> are made in Table I. The best procedure for estimating these values seems to be to use  $E_a$  (WF<sub>6</sub>) as determined by the collisional ionization method<sup>8</sup> and to estimate the others through the difference in the onset frequency of the intermolecular CT transition. The main source of uncertainty in this method is neglect of the interaction of the MF<sub>6</sub>-Xe<sup>+</sup> electronic configuration with the ground (MF<sub>6</sub>Xe) and locally excited (MF<sub>6</sub>\*Xe) configurations. The latter might be more significant for IrF<sub>6</sub>-Xe for which the CT transition occurs in the midst of the low-lying IrF<sub>6</sub> ligand field transitions (see Figure 1) and ~10 000 cm<sup>-1</sup> from the intramolecular CT band of IrF<sub>6</sub>.

Since CT transitions often imply the formation of a CT

complex, it is of interest to determine if the MF<sub>6</sub>-Xe system forms such complexes. The CT transition in  $IrF_6$ -Xe is the closest to the ground state; thus, it is expected that the  $IrF_6-Xe$ complex would be the most stable. The usual approach for determining stability of such complexes employs the method of Benesi and Hildebrand<sup>4</sup> to find the equilibrium constant for complex formation. However, the near-IR data obtained for solid  $IrF_6$ -Xe (Tables II and III) and the near-IR-visible data for gas-phase  $IrF_6$ -Xe allow a simpler, though perhaps more approximate, alternative method to be utilized.

The fact that there is no evidence of the  $IrF_6$ -Xe complex in the room temperature vapor indicates that the 1:1 complex is not strongly bound. The observed frequency shifts, increased line widths (see Table III), and intensity enhancements in the 0.1%  $IrF_6$ -Xe solid samples indicate that there is significant CT interaction between  $IrF_6$  and Xe in the ground state. However, the solid state data pertain to an  $IrF_{6}$ -12Xe complex, whereas information on the 1:1 complex is of more intrinsic interest. Equation 1 and the assumption that the complex is weak enough to allow n' to be set equal to 12 provide an approximate relationship between the 1:12 and the 1:1 complexes.

The stabilization energy of the ground state  $[12X_0(\Gamma_8({}^4A_2))]$  of the IrF<sub>6</sub>·12Xe complex can be estimated by assuming that eq 2 can be applied to the frequency shift data for the  $\Gamma_8({}^2T_1)$  state to find  $\beta_0(\Gamma_8({}^2T_1))$ , and that  $\beta_0(\Gamma_8({}^4A_2))$ is roughly the same since both states stem from the  $(t_{2g})^3$ configuration. These considerations lead to a value for  $12X_0$  $(\Gamma_8({}^4A_2))$  of ~300 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The stabilization energy of the ground state  $X_0(\Gamma_8({}^4A_2))$  of the 1:1 complex is then ~25 cm<sup>-1</sup>. One would certainly expect this to be a lower limit since saturation effects<sup>5</sup> have not been taken into account. The original assumption that the ground state complex is weak thus appears well justified.

The general picture that emerges for these weak charge transfer complexes between Xe and MF<sub>6</sub> molecules is then as follows. The ground state is neutral probably with a shallow broad potential minimum somewhere near  $r^{\circ} \sim 4$  Å, the Xe-Xe approximate distance in a crystal lattice or a liquid. It is possible that there are a few vibrational quanta in this well but this is not a necessary condition imposed by our data. The excited state potential well is much deeper and more narrow, and the potential minimum is such that  $r^*(MF_6^-Xe^+) <$  $r^{\circ}(MF_{6}Xe)$ . These considerations also account nicely for the very broad (>10 000 cm<sup>-1</sup>) Franck-Condon envelope observed for the CT transitions in all systems.

#### VI. Conclusion

The new electronic transitions which appear when certain transition metal hexafluorides are dissolved in liquid xenon can be assigned as intermolecular charge-transfer transitions. The concomitant charge-transfer complexes are weakly bound.

#### **References and Notes**

- (1) E. R. Bernstein and G. R. Meredith, J. Chem. Phys., 64, 375 (1976).
- E. R. Bernstein and J. D. Webb, *Mol. Phys.* in press; E. R. Bernstein, G. R. Meredith, and J. D. Webb, *ibid.*, in press.
   P. R. Hammond, *J. Chem. Soc. A*, 3826 (1971), and references to earlier
- work therein.
- (4) R. S. Mulliken and W. B. Person, "Molecular Complexes, a Lecture and Reprint Volume", Wiley, New York, N.Y., 1969.
  (5) R. S. Mulliken, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 74, 811 (1952).
  (6) N. Bartlett, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 7, 433 (1968).
  (7) N. Bartlett as quoted by R. N. Compton, J. Chem. Phys., 66, 4478 (1977).
  (9) O. Concert. A. Compton, and W. Bajhertt, Abstract. 17th International Science (1997).

- (8) C. D. Cooper, R. N. Compton, and P. W. Reinhardt, Abstracts, IXth Interna-tional Conference on the Physics of Electronic and Atomic Collisions, Vol. 2, J. S. Risley and R. Geballe, Ed., University of Washington Press, Seattle, Wash., 1975, p 922.

# Flash Kinetic Spectroscopic Studies of Dinuclear Rhodium(I) Complexes

Vincent M. Miskowski,<sup>1a</sup> Glenn L. Nobinger,<sup>1a</sup> David S. Kliger,<sup>1a</sup> George S. Hammond, <sup>1a</sup> Nathan S. Lewis, <sup>1b</sup> Kent R. Mann,<sup>1b</sup> and Harry B. Gray<sup>1b\*</sup>

Contribution from the Division of Natural Sciences, University of California, Santa Cruz, California 95060, and Contribution No. 5561 from the Arthur Amos Noyes Laboratory of Chemical Physics, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125. Received April 11, 1977

Abstract: Excitation of concentrated acetonitrile solutions of  $[Rh(tol)_4]PF_6$  (tol is p-methylphenyl isocyanide) at 562 nm, where strong absorption attributable to  $[Rh_2(tol)_8]^{2+}$  occurs, produces emission ( $\lambda_{max} 697 \text{ nm}$ ) with a quantum yield of 0.0065 and a lifetime of  $\leq 2 \text{ ns}$ . Excitation of  $[Rh_2(bridge)_4](BPh_4)_2$  (bridge is 1,3-diisocyanopropane) in acetonitrile solution at 553 nm gives emission at 656 nm with a quantum yield of 0.056 and a lifetime of  $\leq 2$  ns. The emission is assigned to  ${}^{1}A_{2u} \rightarrow {}^{1}A_{1g}$  $(2a_{1g} \rightarrow 1a_{2u})$  in both dinuclear Rh(I) complexes. Excitation also gives rise to long-lived transient absorptions attributable to the following dinuclear and trinuclear species:  $\sim 8 \ \mu s$ , Rh<sub>2</sub>(bridge)<sub>4</sub><sup>2+</sup>; 0.09  $\mu s$ , [Rh<sub>2</sub>(tol)<sub>8</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>; and 0.14  $\mu s$ , [Rh<sub>3</sub>(tol)<sub>12</sub>]<sup>3+</sup>. In the dinuclear complexes, this transient is most likely  ${}^{3}A_{2u}$ , which is the triplet excited-state partner of  ${}^{1}A_{2u}$ . The results suggest that the spin-orbit components of  ${}^{3}A_{2u}$  possess very little singlet character.

#### Introduction

In recent work it has been established<sup>2-4</sup> that planar Rh(I)isocyanide complexes oligomerize in solution, through formation of weak metal-metal bonds. The oligomerization can be followed conveniently by monitoring electronic absorption spectra, as transitions attributable to dimers, trimers, and higher oligomers occur at progressively lower energies. As part of our continuing study of the physical and chemical properties of Rh(I) oligomers, we have performed flash kinetic spectroscopic experiments on solutions of  $[Rh(tol)_4]PF_6$ , where tol is *p*-methylphenyl isocyanide, and on the dinuclear complex,  $[Rh_2(bridge)_4](BPh_4)_2$ ,<sup>3</sup> where bridge is 1,3-diisocyanopropane.